

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/926,499	03/26/2002	Yasuji Hiramatsu	215899US2PCT	6654	
22850	7590 05/16/2003		/		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER		
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			RHEE, JANE J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1772		
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	plicant(s)			
	. •	09/926,499	HIRAMATSU ET	HIRAMATSU ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	T		
		Jane J Rhee	1772			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence a	ddress		
Period fo	•		- 1401/7/401 50014			
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply will, by state the period for reply will, by state the period for reply will, by state than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, many minimum of the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) the cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered tim MONTHS from the mailing date of this ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.		
Status		2 Fahmiani 2002				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	•				
2a)⊠	,	This action is non-final.				
3)∐ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims			the merits is		
·	Claim(s) <u>2-10</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>2-10</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement				
•	on Papers	·				
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10) 🗌 🤈	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) 🗌	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)[disapproved by the Exam	iner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.				
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ign priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received	in Application No			
* <u>c</u>	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).	al Stage		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	·		al application).		
а) The translation of the foreign language parts Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application ha	as been received.	,		
/ لـــارة≀ Attachmen	_	sale priority under 55 U.S	5.0. 33 120 and 01 121.			
1) 🔀 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper Note of Informal Patent Application (Fig. 7).			

Application/Control Number: 09/926,499

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-6,8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atari et al. (5413360) in view of Kawada et al. (5665260).

Atari et al. discloses a nitride ceramic substrate comprising 0.05 to 10% by weight of oxygen (col. 6 lines 19-22) and wherein the material of the ceramic substrate consists of aluminum nitride and silicon nitride (col. 6 line 1). Atari et al. discloses that the ceramic substrate contains an oxide (col. 6 line 22). Atari et al. discloses that the ceramic substrate is disk shaped (figure 6). Atari et al. discloses that the ceramic substrate is used at a temperature of 100 degrees Celsius or higher (col. 5 line 63). Atari et al. discloses that the ceramic heater is used in the semiconductor industry (col. 1 line 8).

It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed article is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed article from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Atari et al. fail to disclose a heating element formed on a surface of a nitride element. Atari et al. fail to disclose a ceramic substrate selected from the group

Application/Control Number: 09/926,499

Art Unit: 1772

consisting of boron nitride and a titanium nitride. Atari et al. fail to disclose that the ceramic substrate has a thickness of 50mm or less.

Kawada et al. teaches a heating element formed on a surface of a nitride element for the purpose of obtaining long durability because the bonded layers will not separated during repeated heating and cooling (col. 2 lines 29-31). Kawada et al. teaches a ceramic substrate with a thickness of 50mm or less (col. 5 line 56) selected from the group consisting of boron nitride and a titanium nitride (col. 3 lines 7-8) for the purpose of creating an electrically insulating ceramic (col. 3 lines 3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide Atari et al. with a heating element formed on a surface of a nitride element in order to obtain long durability so that the bonded layers will not separated during repeated heating and cooling (col. 2 lines 29-31) as taught by Kawada et al.

Also it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide Atari et al. with a ceramic substrate with a thickness of 50mm or less selected from the group consisting of boron nitride and a titanium nitride in order to create an electrically insulating ceramic (col. 3 lines 3) as taught by Kawada et al.

Since Atari et al. discloses the layers desired by the applicant it is inherent that the ceramic substrate has a leakage quantity of 10⁻⁷ Pa • m³/sec (He) or less. In any event, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

Application/Control Number: 09/926,499

Art Unit: 1772

applicant's invention was made to modify the leakage quantity in order to obtain optimum results.

2. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atari et al. and Kawada et al. in view of Yano et al. (5232765).

Atari et al. and Kawada et al. disclose the ceramic heater described above. Atari et al. and Kawada et al. fail to disclose an oxide selected from the group consisting of alkali metal oxide, alkaline earth metal oxide, and rare earth oxide. Yano et al. teaches an oxide selected from the group consisting of alkali metal oxide, alkaline earth metal oxide, and rare earth oxide (col. 4 lines 22 and 35-38) for the purpose of adjusting the strength and thermal expansion coefficient of the ceramic substrate (col. 4 lines 18-19).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide Atari et al. and Kawada et al. with an oxide selected from the group consisting of alkali metal oxide, alkaline earth metal oxide, and rare earth oxide in order to adjust the strength and thermal expansion coefficient of the ceramic substrate (col. 4 lines 18-19) as taught by Yano et al.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jane J Rhee whose telephone number is 703-605-4959. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Janerhee

pinerne

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

5/14/03